Effect Of Late Bloom Fungicide Treatment On Nine Roundup Ready Cotton Varieties To Manage Corynespora Leafspot

R. J. Byrne¹, Sandy Newell², and R. C. Kemerait³ ¹Meherrin Agriculture and Chemical Company, Thomasville, GA, ²BASF Corporation, Statesboro, GA and ³Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Tifton, GA

Abstract

Corynespora leaf spot has been identified as yield limiting disease in cotton. Fungicides have shown that they can help manage Corynespora leaf spot and protect yield. Exact timing of a fungicide spray is thought to be the 3rd or 4th week of bloom based on limited research. Concerns and questions from growers and cotton industry professionals about late season fungicide treatment efficacy and yield effect were present. A replicated trial with nine round-up ready cotton varieties were treated with the fungicide containing pyraclostrobin and metconazole (Twinline) at 8oz per acre during the beginning of the six week of bloom. Field counts were done pre-treatment on each variety and again two weeks after treatment to evaluate treatments. Final yield and gin data was collected to evaluate effect of treatment.

Introduction

Corynespora leaf spot, also known as Target spot, has been present in Georgia for several years and has now been identified in several other cotton growing states. More attention has been given to Corynespora leaf spot in the recent years due to awareness of the disease in the field. There are still questions on a) how cotton varieties are effected by Corynespora leaf spot, b) how cotton varieties respond to fungicide treatments, and c) exact timing of a fungicide spray to manage Corynespora leaf spot. This on-farm research study looked at 1) defoliation and 2) yield effect using a late season fungicide spray on nine different Roundup Ready cotton varieties.

Methods

A replicated on-farm trail was conducted in Mitchell County, GA. The trial was planted on May 1st, 2012. Plots were on 36-inch row spacing, were 6-rows wide per variety, replicated the block 4 times, were approximately 1,000 feet in length, and were irrigated by center pivot. Nine cotton varieties were planted in each block: DPL 1050, DPL 1137, FM 1740, PHY 367, FM 1944, PHY 375, ST 5458, PHY 499, DPL 1252. Treatment consisted of spraying the fungicide during the sixth week of bloom containing pyraclostrobin and metconazole (Twinline) at 8 oz/A on the 100 and 300 blocks while blocks 200 and 400 were left untreated. Visual estimated defoliation ratings were taken pre treatment on all blocks and again two weeks later on all blocks using ten row feet in each rep. Each plot was harvested with a six row cotton picker and a boll buggy with scale to obtain weight for each rep. Gin data was collected for each variety as well.

Tabl

Def DP DP FΝ Pŀ FΝ Pŀ S PF DP

The f with aster and 1 increa 73lb/a



Results

Ratings taken two weeks after fungicide treatment did visually appear to help suppress defoliation on average in treated vs. untreated. The fungicide treatment (Table 1) shows reduction in defoliation numerically and was significant versus no fungicide treatment indicating reduction in defoliation by spraying a fungicide like Twinline.

Table 1: Comparison of visual estimated defolationtreated vs. untreated.							
Defoliation	Pre d	applica	ation	Post application			
All Varieties	Data	0.05	0.1	Data	0.05	0.1	
(1) Fungicide	0.3	b*	b*	0.54	b*	b*	
(2) No Fungicide	0.4	а	а	0.67	а	а	

e 2: Visual estimated defoliation ratings pre treatment and 2 weeks later							
oliation	Pre c	pplica	ation	Post application			
ariety	Data	0.05	0.1	Data	0.05	0.1	
PL 1050	33%	bc	С	50%	С	d	
PL 1137	23%	С	d	60%	bc	bcd	
И 1740	11%	d	е	50%	С	d	
HY 367	29%	С	cd	65%	ab	abc	
И 1944	23%	С	d	58%	bc	bcd	
HY 375	31%	bc	С	55%	bc	cd	
Г 5458	41%	bc	b	65%	ab	abc	
HY 499	59%	а	а	75%	а	а	
PL 1252	56%	а	а	68%	ab	ab	

Visual defoliation from pre to post application (Table 2) ranged from highs with varieties FM 1740 (+39%), DPL 1137 (+38%), PHY 367 (+36%), FM 1944 (+35%) to lows of DPL 1252 (+11%) and PHY 499 (+16%). This could be a combination of early season defoliation catching up and variety's maturity.

Table 3: Harv

Variety Fung

DPL 1050

ST 5458

ST 5458

PHY 499

PHY 499

DPL 1252

DPL 1252

(499	59%	а	а	75%	а	а		DPL 1050
1252	56%	а	а	68%	ab	ab		DPL 1137
								DPL 1137
ungicide Twinline did have significant impacts (Table 3) on yield							FM 1740	
							FM 1740	
a late bloom application on three varieties as noted by the							PHY 367	
isk: PHY 367, FM 1944, and PHY 375 with 137 lbs/ac, 222 lbs/ac,							PHY 367	
71 lhs/ac respectively. At the late bloom spray we saw vield							FM 1944	
The state of the s						FM 1944		
ases with six of the nine varieties. The whole plot averaged							PHY 375	
ac more cotton with the fungicide treatment vs. no treatment.							PHY 375	

Premature defoliation, notice the sunlight penetrating thru the canopy.



Comparing Fungicide Treated vs Untreated: Yield & Post Visual Defoliation Rating



vest results by variety and								
treatment								
gicide	Yield	0.05	0.1					
es	1378.3	bcde	bcd					
lo	1433.8	bcde	bc					
es	1544.9	а	а					
lo	1549.8	а	а					
es	1344.7	cdef	cde					
lo	1273.6	efgh	efg					
es	1154.7	ij*	ih*					
lo	1017.5	k	j					
es	1390.7	bcde*	bc*					
lo	1168.8	hij	ih					
es	1289.3	defg*	def*					
lo	1118.6	jk	ih					
es	1246.9	fghi	fgh					
lo	1271.1	efgh	efg					
es	1287.7	defg	efg					
lo	1199.4	ghij	ghi					
es	1454.5	ab	b					
lo	1404	bc	bc					

Corynespora on cotton leaf, typical bulls-eye appearance

> Treated Avg Yield Untreated Avg Yield ← Post treated defoliation --Post untreated defoliation

Conclusion

The 2012 cotton growing season in Southwest Georgia was difficult due to cloudy weather and rain which creates perfect environment for diseases like Corynespora. This was evident in the average defoliation and average yield gain of 73lbs/ac for the fungicide treated plots vs untreated even with a late bloom application. Only three varieties did not show a positive average yield gain with use of fungicide, DPL 1050, DPL 1137, and ST 5458. The other six varieties showed positive average yield gain and three of those varieties showed statistically different gains: PHY 367, FM 1944, and PHY 375.

Defoliation from Corynespora leaf spot can be suppressed with use of a fungicide, even in late bloom such as this trial. The visual defoliation data shows as a whole the fungicide treatment was both numerically and statistically different versus untreated, 54% and 67%, respectively. Cotton growers can obtain a yield benefit from fungicide. We may find that the varieties that are more susceptible to Corynespora would benefit with an earlier bloom fungicide spray while others still benefit from a late bloom spray. Further research could look at this to evaluate earlier sprays and final effects.



See the fungicide plot before and after the fungicide spray, as well as each rep being harvested. Visit youtube and my channel, rjsagnews, for this and more.







